

Results of the TCG survey of thematic indicators

**Technical Cooperation Group (TCG) meeting
26-28 October 2016
Madrid, Spain**

Overall results

- Nearly 60 respondents
 - 28 TCG-affiliated (Members, Observers, organizations)
 - 30 others (including countries, civil society, academia and non-TCG affiliated organizations)
- Regional representation
 - 43% developed regions
 - 25% global (i.e. organizations, civil society, etc)
 - 14% Latin America and the Caribbean
 - 11% Africa
 - 8% Asia

Overall results by target

Target	% support (all respondents)	% support (TCG only)
4.6 Literacy and numeracy	89	85
4.1 Primary and secondary	86	93
4.c Teachers	86	81
4.a School environment	85	79
4.b Scholarships	84	74
4.2 Early childhood	78	67
4.7 Global citizenship	74	61
4.5 Equity	70	52
4.3 TVET and tertiary	67	56
4.4 Skills for work	65	52

Overall results by thematic indicators

Target	% support (all respondents)	% support (TCG only)
4.6 Literacy and numeracy	75	73
4.1 Primary and secondary	66	68
4.c Teachers	75	69
4.a School environment	50	46
4.b Scholarships	37	30
4.2 Early childhood	65	58
4.7 Global citizenship	50	38
4.5 Equity	58	52
4.3 TVET and tertiary	61	58
4.4 Skills for work	52	42

% support

By target	By thematic indicators	Change in ranking
4.6 Literacy and numeracy	4.6 Literacy and numeracy	=
4.1 Primary and secondary	4.c Teachers	+1
4.c Teachers	4.1 Primary and secondary	-1
4.a School environment	4.2 Early childhood	+2
4.b Scholarships	4.3 TVET and tertiary	+4
4.2 Early childhood	4.5 Equity	+2
4.7 Global citizenship	4.4 Skills for work	+3
4.5 Equity	4.a School environment	-4
4.3 TVET and tertiary	Global citizenship	-2
4.4 Skills for work	4.b Scholarships	-5

Preliminary conclusions

- Indicators for **Targets 4.1, 4.6 and 4.c** are well supported
- Indicators for **Targets 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.7, 4.a and 4.b** require further consideration either because
 - As a group they **do not represent well** the ambitions of the targets; or
 - Individually, they require further **conceptualisation** and/or **methodological development**

Cross-cutting issues

- ❑ **Disaggregation:** by sex, disability, migratory status, conflict-affected and others should be made explicit indicator by indicator
- ❑ **Periodicity:** should be defined for each indicator
- ❑ **Vulnerable groups:** Disaggregation of indicators is not sufficient. Specific indicators need to be developed reflecting the specific challenges of such groups

Summary results by target

4.1 Primary and secondary education

- ❑ Indicators **very well-supported**.
- ❑ **4.1.2 should compliment global indicator 4.1.1**
- ❑ Further **methodological work required on 4.1.1** being led by the Global Alliance to Monitor Learning
- ❑ Possible **additional indicators**
 - Out-of-school numbers
 - Household expenditures on education
 - Transition rates –primary/lower secondary, lower/upper secondary)
- ❑ Could consider **dropping**
 - 4.1.3 (gross intake ratio to the last grade of primary) which is a proxy completion rate. 4.1.4 (completion rate) is now relatively widely available

4.2 Early childhood

- ❑ Indicators **moderately well-supported**.
- ❑ Further **methodological work required on 4.2.1** being led by the Global Alliance to Monitor Learning
- ❑ Concerns regarding **4.2.3** (positive/stimulating home environment). Further methodological work would be required.
- ❑ Possible **additional indicators**
 - Net enrolment rate in early childhood education (ISCED 01+02)
 - Household expenditures on education
 - % of new entrants to primary with early childhood education experience
- ❑ Could consider **dropping**
 - 4.2.4 (gross pre-primary enrolment ratio) which is similar to global indicator 4.2.4 which is available for 150 countries

4.3 TVET and higher education

- ❑ Indicator set **does not capture** quality, affordability or equal access for men and women
- ❑ Further **development work required on 4.3.1** to increase country coverage
- ❑ Possible **additional indicators**
 - Net enrolment rate or new entrants rate to tertiary (instead of 4.3.2)
 - Move 4.4.3 (educational attainment) to 4.3
 - Gender parity indices to measure equality of access
 - An outcome indicator (eg transition to work, graduation or completion)
- ❑ Could consider **dropping**
 - 4.3.2 (gross tertiary enrolment ratio) if replaced by a net rate
- ❑ May need to **develop**
 - Measures of quality and affordability

4.4 Skills for work

- ❑ Indicator set **does not capture** the broad range of skills needed for decent work
- ❑ Further **methodological and development work required on 4.4.1 and 4.4.2** being led by the Global Alliance to Monitor Learning
- ❑ Possible **additional indicators**
 - An employment-related indicator such as youth/adult employment rate
 - Literacy and numeracy indicators (eg from 4.6)
- ❑ Could consider **dropping**
 - One of the ICT skills indicators
 - 4.4.3 (educational attainment) and move to 4.3
- ❑ May need to **develop**
 - Measures of other relevant work-related skills

4.5 Equity

- ❑ Questions regarding the **relevance** of some indicators to target
- ❑ Further **methodological and development work required on 4.5.2** (language of instruction) and **4.5.3** (funding formula)
- ❑ Possible **additional indicators**
 - Expand 4.5.4 to separately identify household expenditures on education
 - Expand 4.5.5 to cover at least lower middle income countries
- ❑ Could consider **dropping**
 - Indicators only loosely related to the target
- ❑ May need to **develop**
 - Equity measures of relevance to specific vulnerable groups (conflict-affected, disabled etc)

4.6 Literacy and numeracy

- ❑ Indicators **very well-supported**.
- ❑ Further **developmental work required on 4.6.1** being led by the Global Alliance to Monitor Learning
- ❑ Possible **additional indicators**
 - Numeracy rates (similar to 4.6.2 for literacy)
 - Existence of legal frameworks guaranteeing access to literacy and numeracy programmes
- ❑ Could consider **modifying**
 - 4.6.3 to refer to participation of illiterate youth/adults in literacy programmes
- ❑ May need to **develop**
 - Measures of completion of literacy/numeracy programmes

4.7 Global citizenship and sustainability

- ❑ Indicator set is **very ambitious** and not easy to implement.
- ❑ Further **methodological work required on most indicators**
- ❑ Possible **additional indicators**
 - Existence of legal frameworks concerning key concepts of the target (eg human rights, gender equality, peace and non-violence etc)
- ❑ Could consider **dropping**
 - 1-2 indicators to simplify the set
- ❑ May need to **develop**
 - **4.7.4** and **4.7.5** (knowledge of citizenship and ecoscience)
 - **4.7.3** (human rights)
 - Measures of peace and non-violence
 - Measures of values and attitudes as opposed to knowledge

4.a School environment

- ❑ Indicator set contains some **challenging indicators**.
- ❑ Further **conceptualisation and methodological work required**
- ❑ Possible **additional indicators**
 - Existence of **mechanisms for reporting** violence including bullying in schools
- ❑ May need to **develop**
 - **4.a.3** (attacks) and **4.a.1 (d)** (adapted infrastructure) need further conceptualisation and methodological development
 - Data sources for **4.a.2** (violence) beyond the Global School-based Student Health Survey
 - Measures of the structural safety of buildings and equipment
 - Measures regarding codes of conduct in schools (both staff and students)

4.b Scholarships

- ❑ Only one thematic indicator which requires substantial further **developmental work** to identify suitable sources of data
- ❑ Possible **additional indicators**
 - None that can be implemented without further methodological development
- ❑ May need to **develop**
 - **4.b.2** (scholarships) needs further work to identify suitable sources
 - Measures of expenditure on and scholarships for students from disadvantaged backgrounds or marginalised communities

4.c Teachers

- Indicators **very well-supported**.
- Possible **additional indicators**
 - Expand indicators to cover **post-secondary** education
 - Existence of **national teaching councils** or **regulatory frameworks** for teachers
- Could consider **dropping**
 - Indicators only loosely related to the target (eg 4.c.5 on salaries) or those similar to other indicators (eg 4.c.7 on training)
- May need to **develop**
 - **International minimum standards** for teacher training and qualifications to improve cross-national comparability
 - **4.c.7** (in-service training) needs further conceptualisation and methodological development

Next steps

Working groups

- Four groups covering different clusters of targets
 - **Group 1:** Targets 4.1, 4.2 and 4.6
 - **Group 2:** Targets 4.3, 4.4 and 4.7
 - **Group 3:** Targets 4.5 and 4.b
 - **Group 4:** Targets 4.a and 4.c

Tasks to accomplish

- For each target under review:
- Establish a set of indicators which has **broad agreement** including the global indicator(s) for reporting in 2017
- Identify indicators which need **further conceptualisation or methodological development**